

providing a plurality of target strings comprising datasets;  
generating a comparison string comprising a dataset using an iterative algorithm, such that the comparison string is calculated from a point in any set of points that can serve as the domain of an iterative function;  
scoring of the comparison string by evaluating a function having the comparison string and one of the plurality of target strings as inputs, such that the evaluation may be repeated for a number of the other plurality of target strings;  
mapping or marking the point if the score or some other property corresponding to the point meets some relevant criteria;  
repeating the generating, scoring, and mapping or marking for a plurality of comparison strings if desired; and  
examining a subregion with higher resolution if points in the subregion are of interest.

2. (Canceled) The method of claim 1, wherein the step of providing the comparison string comprises transforming the numbers of the comparison string to have values within a set of interest.

3. (Canceled) The method of claim 1, wherein the set of points comprises a region of the complex plane.

4. (Canceled) The method of claim 3, wherein the set of points further comprises points in and/or near the Mandelbrot Set or a Julia Set.

5. (Canceled) The method of claim 1, wherein the step of generating the comparison string comprises laying a grid over the set of points.

6. (Canceled) The method of claim 1, wherein the step of generating the comparison string comprises restarting the step of generating the comparison string if the iteration has become unbounded.

7. (Canceled) The method of claim 1, wherein the step of generating the comparison string comprises generating a comparison string of any length.

8. (Canceled) The method of claim 1, wherein the step of scoring comprises preliminary testing of properties of the comparison string alone as criteria to initiate scoring.

9. (Canceled) The method of claim 1, wherein the step of scoring comprises some test of the comparison string using the target string.

10. (Canceled) The method of claim 9, wherein not all of the numbers in the comparison string or the target string must be used in the test.

11. (Canceled) The method of claim 1, wherein the step of scoring comprises a one-to-one comparison between corresponding numbers in the target string and the comparison string.

12. (Canceled) The method of claim 11, wherein the one-to-one comparison may be between corresponding sequential or non-sequential numbers in the target string and the comparison string.

13. (Canceled) The method of claim 1, wherein the step of scoring involves studying the behavior of the scoring function, such as determining the function's minima and maxima.

14. (Canceled) The method of claim 13, wherein only the comparison string is used as relevant input to the scoring function.

15. (Canceled) The method of claim 1, wherein the step of mapping or marking comprises storing the coordinates of the point corresponding to the target string or properties of the comparison string in memory, a database or a table.

16. (Canceled) The method of claim 1, wherein the step of mapping or marking comprises marking the point on a visual display by changing some graphical property of the corresponding pixel, such as color.

17. (Canceled) The method of claim 1, wherein the criteria comprises the comparison string having the highest score, where the score is based on some similarity measure to the target string.

18. (Canceled) The method of claim 1, wherein the step of examining the subregion comprises changing the format of the target and/or comparison string in order to improve the precision and resolution of the method.

19. (Canceled) The method of claim 18, wherein the methodology used in the reformatting process is based on methodologies such as Simulated Annealing, Hill Climbing Algorithms, Genetic Algorithms, or Evolutionary Programming Methods.

20. (Canceled) The method of claim 19, wherein the reformatting process is automated.

21. (Canceled) The method of claim 1, wherein the points of interest are analyzed and/or compared by examining, either visually or mathematically, their relative locations and/or absolute locations within the region.

22. (Canceled) The method of claim 1, wherein the points of interest are analyzed and/or compared by examining, either visually or mathematically, metrics other than location.

23. (Canceled) The method of claim 22, wherein the metrics can be represented by graphic properties such as shading.

24. (Canceled) The method of claim 1, wherein the step of examining a subregion further comprises repeating the examining step for smaller subregions.

25. (Canceled) The method of claim 1, wherein the uses for the method comprise analyzing large datasets, such as for DNA sequence data, protein sequence data, gene expression datasets, demographic data, statistical data, and clinical (patient) data.

26. (Canceled) The method of claim 1, wherein the uses of the method comprise analyzing datasets consisting of heterogeneous data, such as both gene expression data and clinical (patient) data.

27. (Canceled) The method of claim 1, wherein the uses for the method comprise data compression.

28. (Canceled) The method of claim 1, wherein the steps may be automated.

29. (Canceled) The method of claim 1, wherein separates processes involved in generating each comparison string, scoring each comparison string, or transforming each comparison string or target string to a value set of interest may be processed simultaneously by a plurality of processors.

30. (Canceled) A method for manipulation, storage, modeling, visualization and quantification of datasets comprising:

providing a plurality of target strings comprising datasets;

generating a comparison string comprising a dataset using an iterative algorithm, such that the comparison string is calculated from a point in a region of the complex plane and the numbers of the comparison string are transformed to have values within a set of interest;

scoring of the comparison string by evaluating a function having the comparison string and one of the plurality of target strings as inputs, such that the evaluation may be repeated for a number of the other plurality of target strings;

mapping or marking the point if the score or some other property corresponding to the point meets some relevant criteria, such that the coordinates of the point corresponding to the target string or properties of the comparison string are stored in memory, a database or a table, or the point is marked on a visual display by changing some graphical property of the corresponding pixel, and wherein the relevant criteria comprises the comparison string having the highest score, where the score is based on some similarity measure to the target string;

repeating the generating, scoring, and mapping or marking for a plurality of comparison strings if desired; and

examining a subregion with higher resolution if points in the subregion are of interest, wherein the points of interest are analyzed and/or compared by examining, either visually or mathematically, their relative locations and/or absolute locations within the region or other metrics representing the graphic properties of the corresponding comparison strings.

31. (New) A method for dataset pattern analysis for one or more target strings, wherein each target string is a dataset and the target strings can be represented by placing marks on points in a map such that patterns within each point or between points are extracted visually or mathematically, the method comprising the following steps:

generating from a point in the map a comparison string comprising a dataset;

comparing a number of the target strings with the comparison string to determine for each

target string if a mark should be placed on the point in the map corresponding to the comparison string; and

repeating the steps of generating and comparing for a plurality of comparison strings.

32. (New) The method of claim 31, wherein the step of generating the comparison string comprises using an iterative algorithm, such that the comparison string is calculated from a point in any set of points that can serve as the domain of an iterative function.

33. (New) The method of claim 32, wherein the set of points comprises a region of the complex plane.

34. (New) The method of claim 33, wherein the set of points further comprises points in and/or near the Mandelbrot Set or a Julia Set.

35. (New) The method of claim 31 wherein the step of generating the comparison string further comprises transforming the numbers of the comparison string to have values within a set of interest.

36. (New) The method of claim 31, wherein the step of generating the comparison string further comprises laying a grid over the points in the map.

37. (New) The method of claim 31, wherein the step of generating the comparison string further comprises restarting the step of generating the comparison string if the iteration has become unbounded.

38. (New) The method of claim 31, wherein the step of generating the comparison string further comprises generating a comparison string of any length.

A/B  
39. (New) The method of claim 31, wherein the step of comparing comprises scoring of the comparison string by evaluating a function having the comparison string and one of the number of the target strings as inputs, such that the evaluation may be repeated for other of the number of the target strings.

40. (New) The method of claim 39, wherein scoring of the comparison string comprises placing a mark on the point in the map if the score or some other property corresponding to the point meets some relevant criteria.

41. (New) The method of claim 40, wherein the criteria comprises the comparison string having the highest score, where the score is based on some similarity measure to the target string.

42. (New) The method of claim 39, wherein scoring of the comparison string further comprises preliminary testing of properties of the comparison string alone as criteria to initiate scoring.

43. (New) The method of claim 39, wherein scoring of the comparison string further comprises a test of the comparison string using the target string.

44. (New) The method of claim 43, wherein not all of the numbers in the comparison string or the target string must be used in the test.

45. (New) The method of claim 39, wherein scoring of the comparison string further comprises a one-to-one comparison between corresponding numbers in the target string and the comparison string.

46. (New) The method of claim 45, wherein the one-to-one comparison may be between corresponding sequential or non-sequential numbers in the target string and the comparison string.

AT  
B1

47. (New) The method of claim 39, wherein scoring of the comparison string further comprises studying the behavior of the scoring function, such as determining the function's minima and maxima.

48. (New) The method of claim 39, wherein only the comparison string is used as relevant input to the scoring function.

49. (New) The method of claim 31, wherein placing a mark on the point in the map comprises storing the coordinates of the point corresponding to the target string or properties of the comparison string in memory, a database or a table.

50. (New) The method of claim 31, wherein placing a mark on the point in the map comprises placing a mark on a point in a video display by changing some graphical property of the corresponding pixel, such as color.

51. (New) The method of claim 31, further comprising:  
examining a plurality of subregions of the map with higher resolution.

52. (New) The method of claim 51, wherein the step of examining a subregion comprises reformatting of the target and/or comparison string in order to improve the precision and resolution of the method.

53. (New) The method of claim 52, wherein the step of examining a subregion comprises a reformatting process methodology based on methodologies such as Simulated Annealing, Hill Climbing Algorithms, Genetic Algorithms, or Evolutionary Programming Methods.

54. (New) The method of claim 53, wherein the reformatting process is automated.



AT  
61

55. (New) The method of claim 51, wherein the step of examining a subregion further comprises analyzing and/or comparing points of interest by examining, either visually or mathematically, their relative locations and/or absolute locations within the region.

56. (New) The method of claim 51, wherein the step of examining a subregion further comprises analyzing and/or comparing points of interest by examining, either visually or mathematically, metrics other than location.

57. (New) The method of claim 56, wherein the metrics can be represented by graphic properties such as shading.

58. (New) The method of claim 51, wherein the step of examining a subregion further comprises repeating the examining step for smaller subregions.

59. (New) The method of claim 31, wherein the uses for the method comprise analyzing large datasets, such as for DNA sequence data, protein sequence data, gene expression datasets, demographic data, statistical data, and clinical (patient) data.

60. (New) The method of claim 31, wherein the uses of the method comprise analyzing datasets consisting of heterogeneous data, such as both gene expression data and clinical (patient) data.

61. (New) The method of claim 31, wherein the uses for the method comprise data compression.

62. (New) The method of claim 31, wherein the steps may be automated.

AI  
B1  
63. (New) The method of claim 31, wherein separate processes involved in the steps of generating and comparing may be processed simultaneously by a plurality of processors.

64. (New) A method for dataset pattern analysis for one or more target strings, wherein each target string is a dataset and the target strings can be represented by placing marks on points in a map such that patterns within each point or between points are extracted visually or mathematically, the method comprising the following steps:

generating from a point in the map a comparison string comprising a dataset using an iterative algorithm, such that the comparison string is calculated from a point in any set of points that can serve as the domain of an iterative function;

comparing a number of the target strings with the comparison string to determine for each target string if a mark should be placed on the point in the map corresponding to the comparison string; and

repeating the steps of generating and comparing for a plurality of comparison strings.

65. (New) A method for dataset pattern analysis for one or more target strings, wherein each target string is a dataset and the target strings can be represented by placing marks on points in a map such that patterns within each point or between points are extracted visually or mathematically, the method comprising the following steps:

generating from a point in the map a comparison string comprising a dataset;

scoring of the comparison string by evaluating a function having the comparison string and one of the target strings as inputs, such that the evaluation may be repeated for a number of the other target strings, to determine for each target string if a mark should be placed on the point in the map corresponding to the comparison string; and

repeating the steps of generating and comparing for a plurality of comparison strings.

66. (New) A system for dataset pattern analysis for one or more target strings, wherein each target string is a dataset and the target strings can be represented by placement of marks on

A1  
B1  
points in a map such that patterns within each point or between points are extracted visually or mathematically, the system comprising the following:

means for generating from a point in the map a comparison string comprising a dataset;

means for comparing a number of the target strings with the comparison string to determine for each target string if a mark should be placed on the point in the map corresponding to the comparison string; and

means for repeating the means for generating and means for comparing for a plurality of comparison strings.

67. (New) The system of claim 66, wherein the means for generating the comparison string comprises means for using an iterative algorithm, such that the comparison string is calculated from a point in any set of points that can serve as the domain of an iterative function.

68. (New) The system of claim 67, wherein the set of points comprises a region of the complex plane.

69. (New) The system of claim 68, wherein the set of points further comprises points in and/or near the Mandelbrot Set or a Julia Set.

70. (New) The system of claim 66 wherein the means for generating the comparison string further comprises means for transforming the numbers of the comparison string to have values within a set of interest.

71. (New) The system of claim 66, wherein the means for generating the comparison string further comprises means for laying a grid over the points in the map.

AT  
B1

72. (New) The system of claim 66, wherein the means for generating the comparison string further comprises means for restarting the means for generating the comparison string if the iteration has become unbounded.

73. (New) The system of claim 66, wherein the means for generating the comparison string further comprises means for generating a comparison string of any length.

74. (New) The system of claim 66, wherein the means for comparing comprises means for scoring of the comparison string by evaluating a function having the comparison string and one of the number of the target strings as inputs, such that the evaluation may be repeated for other of the number of the target strings.

75. (New) The system of claim 74, wherein the means for scoring of the comparison string comprises means for placing a mark on the point in the map if the score or some other property corresponding to the point meets some relevant criteria.

76. (New) The system of claim 75, wherein the criteria comprises the comparison string having the highest score, where the score is based on some similarity measure to the target string.

77. (New) The system of claim 74, wherein means for scoring of the comparison string further comprises means for preliminary testing of properties of the comparison string alone as criteria to initiate scoring.

78. (New) The system of claim 74, wherein means for scoring of the comparison string further comprises a test of the comparison string using the target string.

79. (New) The system of claim 78, wherein not all of the numbers in the comparison string or the target string must be used in the test.

AT  
B1

80. (New) The system of claim 74, wherein the means for scoring of the comparison string further comprises a one-to-one comparison between corresponding numbers in the target string and the comparison string.

81. (New) The system of claim 80, wherein the one-to-one comparison may be between corresponding sequential or non-sequential numbers in the target string and the comparison string.

82. (New) The system of claim 74, wherein the means for scoring of the comparison string further comprises means for studying the behavior of the scoring function, such as determining the function's minima and maxima.

83. (New) The system of claim 74, wherein only the comparison string is used as relevant input to the scoring function.

84. (New) The system of claim 66, wherein the mark placement on the point in the map comprises means for storing the coordinates of the point corresponding to the target string or properties of the comparison string in memory, a database or a table.

85. (New) The system of claim 66, wherein the mark placement on the point in the map comprises means for placing a mark on a point in a video display by changing some graphical property of the corresponding pixel, such as color.

86. (New) The system of claim 66, further comprising:  
means for examining a plurality of subregions of the map with higher resolution.

A1  
B1

87. (New) The system of claim 86, wherein the means for examining a subregion comprises means for reformatting of the target and/or comparison string in order to improve the precision and resolution of the system.

88. (New) The system of claim 87, wherein the means for examining a subregion comprises a reformatting process methodology based on methodologies such as Simulated Annealing, Hill Climbing Algorithms, Genetic Algorithms, or Evolutionary Programming Methods.

89. (New) The system of claim 86, wherein the means for examining a subregion further comprises means for analyzing and/or comparing points of interest by examining, either visually or mathematically, their relative locations and/or absolute locations within the region.

90. (New) The system of claim 86, wherein the means for examining a subregion further comprises means for analyzing and/or comparing points of interest by examining, either visually or mathematically, metrics other than location.

91. (New) The system of claim 90, wherein the metrics can be represented by graphic properties such as shading.

92. (New) The system of claim 86, wherein the means for examining a subregion further comprises means for repeating the means for examining for smaller subregions.

93. (New) The system of claim 66, wherein the uses for the system comprise means for analyzing large datasets, such as for DNA sequence data, protein sequence data, gene expression datasets, demographic data, statistical data, and clinical (patient) data.

A1  
B1

94. (New) The system of claim 66, wherein the uses of the system comprise means for analyzing datasets consisting of heterogeneous data, such as both gene expression data and clinical (patient) data.

95. (New) The system of claim 66, wherein the uses for the system comprise data compression.

96. (New) The system of claim 66, wherein separate processes involved in the means for generating and means for comparing may be processed simultaneously by a plurality of processors.

---